Application No. 10/749,976 Amendment dated March 6, 2007 Response to Office Action dated Dec. 7, 2006

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF DRAWING CHANGES

The Examiner's approval is requested for the two sheets of accompanying drawings.

Reconsideration of the application is requested. The Examiner's action has been

carefully considered and it is believed that this amendment is fully responsive and places

the application in a condition for allowance because of the following reasons.

The specification has been amended to correct the informalities which the Examiner

identified in his office action.

Replacement drawings are enclosed for the Examiner's approval.

The 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejections have been overcome by cancelling

claim 2 and amending claims 1, 3, 9 and 10.

Claims 1, 3 and 9-12 have been amended to overcome the Examiner's 35 U.S.C.

103(a) rejections. Claims 1, 3 and 9-12 are not obvious in view of the cited references

because of the following reasons. Smith U.S. 1,515,445; Cooney U.S. 1,870,711; Chang

U.S. 4,084,305 and Steffes et al. U.S. 4,922,749) are incapable of removing pulleys from

active power sources.

Smith, Cooney and Chang are directed to pullers for removing pulleys without V-

belts by hooking the pulleys with arms (Smith, "arm" 10; Cooney, "arm" 17; Chang, "pull

pawls" 22) and rotating threaded members (Smith, "threaded actuating member" 23;

Cooney, "screw member" 22; Chang "screw rod" 23).

10

Application No. 10/749,976

Amendment dated March 6, 2007

Response to Office Action dated Dec. 7, 2006

Steffes is directed to an indexing tool for rotating an engine crankshaft. The

crankshaft is indexed by attaching the tool to a harmonic balancer attached to a pulley and

rotated.

Amended independent claim 1, 11 and 12 are patentably distinguishable from the

references by the positive limitations of "a means for disengaging a tapered member from

a V-belt drive when a power source is active; and a "push rod". Since claims 3-10 depend

from claim 1 for the same limitations as claim 1 they are not obvious in view of the

references.

For the above reasons, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner act favorably

on this amendment and pass this case for allowance.

If the Examiner should have further objections or believe further changes are

necessary, a telephone conference would be appreciated.

Respectfully requested,

Alex Rhodes, Reg. No. 26,797

Attorney of Record

50168 Pontiac Trail, Unit 9

Wixom, MI 48393

Tel. 248-669-0678

Fax. 248-669-3694

Dated: March 6, 2007

11